

Guest Editorial

Special Section on Wireless Technologies in Factory and Industrial Automation – Part I

Daniele Miorandi, Elisabeth Uhlemann, Stefano Vitturi and Andreas Willig

WIRELESS technologies are nowadays in widespread use, with cellular telephony and wireless Internet access being two of the major driving forces behind this. Using wireless technologies in industrial and factory automation is also very attractive for many reasons. The wireless way of communicating makes plant setup and modification easier, cheaper and more flexible. It provides a natural approach towards communication with mobile equipment where wires are in constant danger of breaking. It enables new applications where wireless transmission is the only option, e.g., measurements and control of rotating or highly mobile devices, and provides a novel approach to existing applications, e.g., localization and tracking of goods. Furthermore, tasks like machine diagnosis and maintenance can be greatly simplified by equipping the maintenance personnel with wireless terminals.

In many factory and automation applications the communication needs are traditionally served by wired fieldbus systems and other industrial communication systems like, for example, sensor/actuator buses [1], [2]. These communication systems have been specifically designed to meet the stringent real-time and reliability requirements found in many industrial applications. Of course, wireless technologies should ideally provide the same type and quality of services to industrial users as the traditional, wired technologies do. However, wireless technologies differ in a number of ways from wired ones. These differences pose significant challenges for the design of network architectures, protocols and tools for industrial and automation applications [3], [4], [5].

A first fundamental difference is represented by the error properties of wireless channels as compared to those of the wired ones [6]. Many measurement studies have shown that wireless channels exhibit transient errors (bit errors, packet losses) at often much higher rates than observed on wires or fiber cables [7]. In addition, the error rates are often time-variable. These channel errors result from phenomena like constructive and destructive interference (creating fast fading), attenuation by obstacles (slow fading), path loss and thermal noise. This has two significant implications for the *design* of industrial networking protocols and applications. First, there is a need for careful choice of physical layer technologies, appropriate medium access control (MAC) protocols and error control schemes, which are able to combat channel errors in a manner respectful to real-time deadlines (e.g. [8], [9]). Such a choice should be based on knowledge of propagation conditions and error patterns in industrial sites [10], [11], [12]. Secondly, industrial applications involving wireless technologies

must be aware of the fact that even the most advanced physical layer, MAC and error control technologies cannot fully mask out channel errors and consequently there is potentially a non-negligible residual error rate. This calls for the design of user applications and application layer protocols capable of taking the presence of transient errors explicitly into account and being reasonably robust against them. It is worth mentioning that standardized, wireless-aware application layer protocols are not yet available (and, actually, standardization activities have not yet been undertaken by the competent bodies and there is thus some danger of uncontrolled proliferation of proprietary products). When it comes to the *evaluation* of networking and application layer protocols and applications, it is appropriate to leave the *deterministic* view on deadlines behind and adopt *probabilistic* measures, like for example the probability to miss a pre-specified deadline or measures like the delay-limited capacity [13], [14], [15].

Another fundamental difference is that the wireless medium is an “open” medium, i.e., wireless signal propagation is not confined to a cable but the signals can be received in a whole spatial area. This has a number of important consequences, which of course are not only specific for industrial settings. First, a wireless transmission can be overheard in the whole spatial area, which is an excellent opportunity for an adversary to eavesdrop or to even insert malicious packets. This “open-ness” of the medium thus creates additional security threats which must be taken into account in the design of protocols (compare [16]). Secondly, a wireless transmission creates interference to other wireless systems working in the same geographical area and the same frequency band. Vice versa, any wireless (industrial) communication system is susceptible to interference created by other systems, not only from those using the same technology, but also from other technologies working in the same frequency band. For example, the license-free 2.4 GHz Industrial, Scientific and Medical band (ISM) band is used by the IEEE 802.11 wireless local area network (WLAN) technology, by IEEE 802.15.1 Bluetooth and by the IEEE 802.15.4 wireless personal area network (PAN) technologies. Consequently, co-existence issues arise. In home or office scenarios, this problem is usually ignored. For instance, all the owners of Wifi WLAN access points in a densely populated urban area simply make some random choice of frequency channel, since there is no practical way to negotiate with neighbors on good ways of spectrum sharing. While being very simple, such a “random” approach is harmful to real-time and reliability properties that are vital

in industrial applications. When a certain level of channel quality is needed to achieve requested real-time and reliability levels, proper *network planning* is required, implying decisions about positions of wireless nodes and frequencies used, e.g., [17]. Appropriate industrial planning tools tailored to indoor industrial settings are called for.

A third fundamental difference stems from mobility. While in general being a desirable feature, it has the side effect of frequent changes to the topology of the wireless network. Network protocols must then be able to detect such topology changes and to adapt their operations accordingly, e.g., by providing fast handover procedures, by modifying the logical networking structure for MAC schemes based on token-passing, or by modifying routing tables in case of multi-hop networks. This requires flexible, and potentially also more complex protocols.

Besides these issues, a number of other, more practical, problems have to be resolved. Given that industrial users will be reluctant to simply throw out their functioning wired fieldbus installations in favor of wireless ones, wireless stations should be *integrated* with wired fieldbuses to create *hybrid wired/wireless fieldbus systems* [18], preferably without requiring changes to the protocols of already existing wired stations. The coupling devices transferring packets between wired and wireless parts of the network introduce additional forwarding delay [19], which depends on their precise type of operation (cut-through forwarding vs. store-and-forward). This additional delay needs to be considered in the configuration and operation of protocols, e.g., [20], [21]. The precise implementation of hybrid systems of course depends on the specific wired fieldbus systems and wireless technologies considered. As an example of hybrid system, in [22] a wireless extension of Profibus DP [23], implemented using the Bluetooth technology [24] is described.

Among the available radio systems, in particular, WLAN technologies like the IEEE 802.11 family of standards [25], [26] and the upcoming technology of wireless sensor networks (WSN) [27], [28], [29] have moved into the focus of researchers and practitioners interest in factory-level wireless industrial communications. When wireless sensor networks have been chosen as underlying wireless technology, energy conservation is an additional aspect of paramount importance [30], [29] or, alternatively, the (wireless) supply of energy to wireless devices [31], [32] as well as electromagnetic compatibility.

This special section on “Wireless Technologies in Factory and Industrial Automation” of course cannot cover all these issues in a comprehensive manner. In response to the call for papers we received in total 20 submissions. The section is split over two issues of the IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics. In the present issue three papers are presented, further papers will appear in the August issue of these transactions.

In the paper “Channel Characterization and Link Quality Assessment of the IEEE 802.15.4-Compliant Radio for Factory Environments” by Lei Tang, Kuang-Ching Wang, Yong Huang and Fangming Gu the authors present the results of a wireless channel measurement study carried out with commercial radio equipment compliant to the IEEE 802.15.4 standard

[27]. The results give insights into the relationship between the propagation conditions found in factory environments and the packet error characteristics of wireless links. This kind of information is very useful for proper planning of industrial wireless networks based on sensor radios, as well as for the design of protocols. The measurement approach captures both spatial variation (from measuring on a fine grid in a machine shop) as well as temporal variation (from 24 hour measurements at fixed positions). It is shown that spatial variation in channel quality corresponds to the presence or absence of a line-of-sight (LOS) path and the presence or absence of metallic clutter in the vicinity of transmitting or receiving stations. A further important contribution is an assessment of the relationship between certain per-packet quality values delivered by the wireless transceiver when receiving a packet (like the RSSI – received signal strength indicator) and the observed packet error rate (PER). It is shown that the LQI (link quality indication) value has a high correlation to the PER and therefore allows to predict the PER. This kind of information can for example be exploited by protocols to adapt their operations.

The paper “Modular Wireless Real-Time Sensor/Actuator Network for Factory Automation Applications” by H. J. Korerber, H. Wattar and G. Scholl is concerned with a wireless sensor/actuator network for employment at the low levels of factory automation systems. The paper represents a very comprehensive work, since it faces several aspects of wireless industrial communications. Indeed, after an analysis of the user requirements, it presents a set of measurements aimed at providing the characterization of the physical channel. Then, the network design is introduced, along with an exhaustive discussion on the choice of the radio technology and components. The proposed network has been conceived in order to provide performance similar to those of the most popular (wired) sensor/actuator buses, like for example AS-interface [33] and Seriplex [34]. In such a direction, the paper reports some experimental results, obtained from a set of tests carried out on a prototype implementation of the network, which demonstrate the effectiveness of the design. Finally, some interesting considerations on energy consumption aspects are given.

The paper “WiDom: A Dominance Protocol for Wireless Medium Access”, by N. Pereira, B. Andersson and E. Tovar, deals with the problem of scheduling real-time transmissions in a wireless industrial network. In the paper, the authors present a novel mechanism, called WiDom, which is based on the adaptation to the peculiarities of the wireless medium to the dominance protocol used in the CAN bus. The proposed mechanism is relevant in that it has the potential to overcome some of the limitations incurred by current deployment of wireless technologies in industrial environments, usually built using COTS devices based on general-purpose standards. The paper comprises a detailed description of the proposed protocol, together with an experimental evaluation thereof, carried out using embedded computer platforms. Concluding remarks cover a number of issues, such as the possible integration of power management in the proposed scheme and the applicability limitations determined by wireless channel

impairments.

Finally, preparing such a special section requires the help of a lot of people. First we thank all the authors for their contributions and their prompt cooperation in the later steps of the publication process. The reviewers did an excellent job in providing the authors with comprehensive and useful reviews. We thank all of them. We also would like to thank the editors of these transactions for their help and guidance in preparing this special section.

BIOGRAPHIES OF GUEST EDITORS



Daniele Miorandi is the head of the Pervasive Area at CREATE-NET, Italy. He received a PhD in Communications Engineering from Univ. of Padova, Italy, in 2005, and a Laurea degree (summa cum lauda) in Communications Engineering from Univ. of Padova, Italy, in 2001. He joined CREATE-NET in Jan. 2005, where he is leading a group working on Pervasive Computing and Communication Environments. His research interests include bio-inspired approaches to networking and service provisioning in large-scale computing systems, modelling and

performance evaluation of wireless networks, wireless extensions of fieldbus systems, prototyping of wireless mesh solutions.



Elisabeth Uhlemann (S'98 M'05) currently holds a position as Assistant Professor in Wireless Real-Time Communications at the Centre for Research on Embedded Systems (CERES), Halmstad University, Halmstad, Sweden. The position is co-funded by Volvo Technology Corporation with focus on Telematics applications. She obtained her Ph.D. degree in Communications Theory from Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden, in 2004 and her M.Sc. degree in Computer Systems Engineering from Halmstad University, Halmstad, Sweden, in

1998. She has held visiting positions at the Institute for Telecommunications Research (ITR), University of South Australia, Adelaide, Australia in 2002, 2003 and 2005. Her research interests include ARQ, channel coding, digital communications and real-time communications.



Stefano Vitturi Stefano Vitturi is a senior researcher with the Institute of Electronics, Information Engineering and Telecommunications of the Italian National Research Council (IEIIT-CNR) since January 2002. From 1985 to 2001 he has worked at the control and data acquisition system of RFX, a nuclear fusion experiment included in the Fusion Program of the European Community, located in Padova, Italy. He received the Laurea degree (summa cum lauda) in Electronics Engineering from University of Padova, in 1984. His research interests

include industrial communication systems, real time communication networks (wired and wireless), implementation and performance analysis of devices conforming to the most popular industrial communication protocols.



Andreas Willig is a senior researcher with the Telecommunication networks group (TKN) at the Technical University of Berlin, Germany since April 2005. From 2002 to 2005 he was an assistant professor with the Hasso-Plattner-Institute at University of Potsdam (Germany). He obtained the Dr.-Ing. degree in electrical engineering from Technical University Berlin (Germany) in 2002, and the diploma degree in computer science from University of Bremen (Germany) in 1994. His research interests include wireless networks, fieldbus and real-time systems,

ad-hoc and sensor networks, all with specific focus on protocol design and performance aspects.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. P. Mahalik, Ed., *Fieldbus Technology – Industrial Network Standards for Real-Time Distributed Control*. Berlin: Springer, 2003.
- [2] R. Zurawski, Ed., *The Industrial Communication Technology Handbook*. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press, 2005.
- [3] J.-D. Decotignie, “Wireless fieldbuses – a survey of issues and solutions,” in *Proc. 15th IFAC World Congress on Automatic Control (IFAC 2002)*, Barcelona, Spain, 2002.
- [4] A. Willig, K. Matheus, and A. Wolisz, “Wireless Technology in Industrial Networks,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 93, no. 6, pp. 1130–1151, 2005.
- [5] F. De Pellegrini, D. Miorandi, S. Vitturi, and A. Zanella, “On the use of wireless networks at low level of factory automation systems,” *IEEE Trans. on Ind. Inf.*, vol. 2, pp. 129–143, May 2006.
- [6] A. F. Molisch, *Wireless Communications*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley & Sons / IEEE Press, 2005.
- [7] H. Bai and M. Atiquzzaman, “Error modeling schemes for fading channels in wireless communications: A survey,” *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, vol. 5, no. 2, 2003, <http://www.comsoc.org/livepubs/surveys>.
- [8] E. Uhlemann and L. K. Rasmussen, “Incremental redundancy deadline-dependent coding for efficient wireless real-time communications,” in *Proc. 10th IEEE International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Factory Automation (ETFA 2005)*, Catania, Italy, Sept. 2005.
- [9] A. Willig, “Polling-based MAC Protocols for Improving Realtime Performance in a Wireless PROFIBUS,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 806–817, Aug. 2003.
- [10] T. S. Rappaport, S. Y. Seidel, and K. Takamizawa, “Statistical channel impulse response models for factory and open plan building radio communication system design,” *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 39, no. 5, pp. 794–807, May 1991.
- [11] A. Willig, M. Kubisch, C. Hoene, and A. Wolisz, “Measurements of a wireless link in an industrial environment using an IEEE 802.11-compliant physical layer,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 49, no. 6, pp. 1265–1282, 2002.
- [12] D. Brevi, D. Mazzocchi, R. Scopigno, A. Bonivento, R. Calcagno, and F. Rusina, “A Methodology for the Analysis of 802.11a Links in Industrial Environments,” in *Proc. 2006 IEEE International Workshop on Factory Communication Systems (WFCS)*, July 2006.
- [13] S. V. Hanly and D. N. C. Tse, “Multiaccess fading channels – part ii: Delay-limited capacities,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 44, no. 7, pp. 2816–2831, Nov. 1998.
- [14] R. A. Berry and R. G. Gallager, “Communication over fading channels with delay constraints,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 1135–1149, May 2002.
- [15] E. Uhlemann, “Adaptive concatenated coding for wireless real-time communications,” PhD Dissertation, Chalmers University of Technology, Goteborg, Sweden, Sept. 2004.
- [16] D. Dzung, M. Naedele, T. P. von Hoff, and M. Crevatin, “Security for Industrial Communication Systems,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 93, no. 6, pp. 1152–1177, 2005.
- [17] K.-S. Tang, K.-F. Man, and S. Kwong, “Wireless communication network design in IC factory,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 48, no. 2, Apr. 2001.
- [18] J.-D. Decotignie, “Interconnection of wireline and wireless fieldbuses,” in *The Industrial Information Technology Handbook*, R. Zurawski, Ed. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2005.
- [19] C. Koulamas, S. Koubias, and G. Papadopoulos, “Using Cut-Through Forwarding to Retain the Real-Time Properties of Profibus Over Hybrid Wired/Wireless Architectures,” *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 1208–1217, Dec. 2004.

- [20] M. Alves, E. Tovar, F. Vasques, G. Hammer, and K. Röther, "Real-Time Communications over Hybrid Wired/Wireless PROFIBUS-based Networks," in *Proc. 14th Euromicro Conference on Real-Time Systems*, June 2002, pp. 142–151.
- [21] M. F. Alves, "Real-time communications over hybrid wired/wireless PROFIBUS-based networks," PhD Dissertation, University of Porto, Faculty of Engineering, Feb. 2003.
- [22] D. Miorandi and S. Vitturi, "A wireless extension of Profibus DP based on the Bluetooth radio system," *Adhoc Networks Journal*, vol. 3, no. 4, pp. 479–494, 2004.
- [23] *IEC 61158-5: Digital data communications for measurement and control - Fieldbus for use in industrial control systems - parts 5 and 6: Application Layer service definition and protocol specification, communication model type 3*, International Electrotechnical Commission Std., January 2000.
- [24] *Specification of Bluetooth System, ver. 1.1*, February, 22 2001.
- [25] LAN/MAN Standards Committee of the IEEE Computer Society, *Information technology – Telecommunications and Information Exchange between Systems – Local and Metropolitan Area Networks – Specific Requirements – Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications*, 1999.
- [26] —, *IEEE Standard for Information Technology - Telecommunications and information exchange between systems - Local and Metropolitan networks - Specific requirements - Part 11: Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) specifications: Amendment 8: Medium Access Control (MAC) Quality of Service Enhancements*, Nov. 2005.
- [27] —, *IEEE Standard for Information technology – Telecommunications and information exchange between systems – Local and metropolitan area networks – Specific requirements – Part 15.4: Wireless Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications for Low Rate Wireless Personal Area Networks (LR-WPANs)*, Sept. 2006, revision of 2006.
- [28] H. Karl and A. Willig, *Protocols and Architectures for Wireless Sensor Networks*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, 2005.
- [29] J. A. Stankovic, T. F. Abdelzaher, C. Lu, L. Sha, and J. C. Hou, "Real-Time Communication and Coordination in Embedded Sensor Networks," *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 91, no. 7, pp. 1002–1022, July 2003.
- [30] A. J. Goldsmith and S. B. Wicker, "Design challenges for energy-constrained ad hoc wireless networks," *IEEE Wireless Communications*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 8–27, Aug. 2002.
- [31] J. Hirai, T.-W. Kim, and A. Kawamura, "Practical study on wireless transmission of power and information for autonomous decentralized manufacturing system," *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 46, pp. 349–359, Apr. 1999.
- [32] D. Dzung, C. Apneseth, G. Scheible, and W. Zimmermann, "Wireless Sensor Communication and Powering System for Real-Time Industrial Applications," in *Proc. 2002 IEEE Workshop on Factory Communication Systems, WFCS' 2002*, Västerås, Sweden, 2002, work-in-progress session.
- [33] *IEC 62026-2: Low-Voltage Switchgear and Controlgear – Controller Device Interfaces (CDIs) – Part 2: Actuator Sensor Interface (AS-I)*, International Electrotechnical Commission Std., July 2000.
- [34] Seriplex control bus version 2 standards specification. [Online]. Available: <http://ecatalog.squared.com/techlib/docdetail.cfm?oid=09008926800aaa6e>